UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,253	07/22/2003	Lowell L. Winger	03-0781 1496.00317	7358
	7590 06/04/200 R P MAIORANA, PC		EXAM	INER
LSI Corporation			RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT	
24840 HARPER SUITE 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST CLAIR SHORES, MI 48080			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,253	WINGER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andy S. Rao	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/27 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,3-14 and 16-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 9-13,26 and 27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-8,14,16-25 and 28-32 is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and a composition and a composition to the separate and a composition and a compositio	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the land drawing(s) is objected to be land drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/624,253

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 14, 16-25, 28-32 as filed on 2/27/09 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1, 3-8, 14, 16-25, 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as not falling within one of four statutory categories of inventions. Supreme Court precedent¹ and recent Federal Circuit decisions indicate a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. § 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing². While the instant claim(s) recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, the claim(s) neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. For example there is not apparatus mentioned either in the preamble nor in the subsequent limitations for executing the method (i.e. elements are not sufficiently specified for performing the "accepting...", "generating..."steps of independent claims 1 and 14, nor is the video encoding considered transforming the data, as the

¹ <u>Diamond v. Diehr</u>, 450 U.S. 175, 184, (1981); <u>Parker v. Flook</u>, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); <u>Gottschalk v. Benson</u>, 409 U.S. 63, 70, (1972); <u>Cochrane v. Deener</u>, 94 U.S 780, 787-788 (1876).

² The Supreme Court recognized that this test is not necessarily fixed or permanent and may evolve with technological advances. *Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 71 (1972).*

Application/Control Number: 10/624,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

process is self-contained in the electromagnetic spectrum, *In re Bilski*, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-13 and 26-27 are allowed.

Independent claims 9 and 13 are directed towards an apparatus for encoding video signals which further includes macroblocks by parsing the common slice in the bitstream, wherein said parser is further configured to parse a first syntax element indicating an end of said slice and a second syntax element representing said I PCM mode macroblocks, said first or second syntax element following an entropy coding termination..." which are features that are not anticipated nor obvious over the art of record. Dependent claims 10-12, and 26-27 are allowed for the reasons concerning the independent claims.

5. Claims 1, 3-8, 14, 14, 16-25, 28-32 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101 set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (571)-272-7337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,253 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)-272-7418. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2621

asr

/Andy S. Rao/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621

June 3, 2009